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## Background

## Definition

A Balanced Incomplete Block Design (or BIBD) is a design that is;

- defined on $v$ varieties (or points),
- consists of $b$ blocks (or sets),
- each block is of size $k$,
- each variety occurs in $r$ blocks,
- each pair of varieties occur together in precisely $\lambda$ blocks.
- It is not always possible to construct BIBDs for particular sets of parameters.
- When it is not possible to construct a BIBD for a particular set of parameters, $\operatorname{PBIBD}(\mathrm{n})$ s can be used as an alternative design.
- Introduce PBIBD(2)s.
- Explain the connection between PDSs and PBIBD(2)s.
- Define DPDFs and explain how they can be used to generate new PBIBD(2) constructions.
- Demonstrate how finite field cyclotomy can be used to build DPDFs that in turn produce PBIBD(2)s with "desirable" parameters.


## What are PBIBD(2)s?

PBIBD(2)s slightly compromise on the requirement that all pairs of varieties must co-occur in precisely $\lambda$ blocks of the design.

## Definition

A Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Design with 2 association classes (or PBIBD(2)) is a 2-design which is;

- based on a set of $v$ varieties, with an underlying 2-class association scheme,
- consists of b blocks,
- each block is of size $k$,
- each variety occurs in $r$ blocks of the design,
- any pair of first associates occur $\lambda_{1}$ times in the same block,
- any pair of second associates occur $\lambda_{2}$ times in the same block.


## Example of a PBIBD(2)

In $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ there exists an association scheme in which the first associates of;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \text { are } 1 \text { and } 4 \\
& 1 \text { are } 0 \text { and } 2 \\
& 2 \text { are } 1 \text { and } 3 \\
& 3 \text { are } 2 \text { and } 4 \\
& 4 \text { are } 3 \text { and } 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of second associates of each element of $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ is the set of elements that are not first associates of that particular element i.e. the second associates of 1 are 3 and 4 .

Under this association scheme, we can see that the following blocks form a PBIBD(2): $\{1,4\},\{2,0\},\{3,1\},\{4,2\},\{0,3\}$.

## Relationship between association schemes and PDSs

Definition
A set $S \subset G$ is a Partial Difference Set (or PDS), if each element of $S$ occurs as a pairwise difference between distinct elements of $S$ precisely $\lambda$ times, and each element of $\mathrm{G}^{*} \backslash S$ occurs precisely $\mu$ times as a pairwise difference between elements of $S$. $S$ is said to be a regular PDS if $0 \notin S$ and $S=-S$.

## Proposition (Ma (1984))

A PDS, $S$, is regular if and only if $S$ forms an association scheme in which the first associates of a variety $g$ are the elements of the set $g+S$, and the second associates are all non-identity elements of $G$ not contained in this set. (These are now known as cyclic association schemes.)

## Relationship between cyclic PBIBD(2)s and PDSs

In this talk, we will particularly be focussing on constructing cyclic PBIBDs. A PBIBD is said to be cyclic if the underlying association scheme is cyclic.

## Theorem

The development of a regular PDS is a $\operatorname{PBIBD}(2)$.
Definition
The development of a collection of subsets, $S^{\prime}$, in a group G is the series of blocks found by adding each element of G in turn to each of the blocks in $S^{\prime}$.

## Partial Difference Set Example

## Example

The set $\{1,4\}$ forms a regular PDS in the group $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ in which $\lambda=0$ and $\mu=1$.

| - | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | - |

Using this PDS, we can generate an association scheme under which the first associates of 1 are $1+\{1,4\}=\{2,0\}$ and the second associates of 1 are $\{3,4\}$. Since $\{1,4\}$ is a regular PDS in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$, when we develop this subset we form the PBIBD in our initial example.

## Disjoint Partial Difference Families

Internal difference = pairwise difference between elements contained within the same subset.

## Definition (Huczynska and J. (2023))

A Disjoint Partial Difference Family (or DPDF),
$S^{\prime}=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}\right\} \subset \mathrm{G}$ (where G is a group) is;

- a collection of disjoint $k$-subsets comprising elements of $\mathrm{G}^{*}$,
- the multiset of all internal differences within the component sets of $S^{\prime}$ comprises $\lambda$ copies of every element in $S=\cup_{i=1}^{m} D_{i}$,
- the multiset of all internal differences within the component sets of $S^{\prime}$ comprises $\mu$ copies of every element in $\mathrm{G}^{*} \backslash S$.


## Theorem (J. (Upcoming Preprint))

Let $S^{\prime}$ be a DPDF partitioning a regular PDS, $S$. Then the development of $S^{\prime}$ is a cyclic $\operatorname{PBIBD}(2)$.

## Introducing finite field cyclotomy

## Definition

In the finite field $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ of order $q=e f+1$, let $0 \leq i \leq e-1$ and $\alpha$ be a primitive element of $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. We define the $i^{\text {th }}$ cyclotomic class of order $e, C_{i}^{e}, \operatorname{in} \operatorname{GF}(q)$ to be the set:

$$
C_{i}^{e}=\alpha^{i}\left\langle\alpha^{e}\right\rangle
$$

## Cyclotomic Numbers

Let $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ be a finite field of order $q=e f+1$ and let $\alpha$ be a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. For a fixed $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$, the cyclotomic number $(i, j)_{e}$ is the number of ordered pairs $(s, t)$ (where $0 \leq s, t \leq f-1$ ) such that

$$
\alpha^{e s+i}=\alpha^{e t+j}-1
$$

where $\alpha^{e s+i} \in C_{i}^{e}$ and $\alpha^{e t+j} \in C_{j}^{e}$.

## Constructing DPDFs using finite field cyclotomy

Below I have rewritten the elements of the pairwise differences between distinct elements of $C_{i}^{e}$ in terms of an element of $C_{i}^{e}$ multiplying an element of $C_{0}^{e}-1=\left\{\alpha^{m e}-1 \mid \alpha^{m e} \in C_{0}^{e}\right\}$.

| - | 1 | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i}$ | $\alpha^{2 \epsilon+i}$ | $\ldots$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i(f-1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)}-1\right)$ | $\alpha^{2 \epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon(f-2)}-1\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}-1\right)$ |
| $\alpha^{\epsilon+i}$ | $\alpha^{i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}-1\right)$ | - | $\alpha^{2 \epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)}-1\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i(f-1)}\left(\alpha^{2 \epsilon}-1\right)$ |
| $\alpha^{2 \epsilon+i}$ | $\alpha^{i}\left(\alpha^{2 \epsilon}-1\right)$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}-1\right)$ | - | $\ldots$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)+i}\left(\alpha^{3 \epsilon}-1\right)$ |
| $\alpha^{3 \epsilon+i}$ | $\alpha^{i}\left(\alpha^{3 \epsilon}-1\right)$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{2 \epsilon}-1\right)$ | $\alpha^{2 \epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon}-1\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)+i}\left(\alpha^{4 \epsilon}-1\right)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)+i}$ | $\alpha^{i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon(f-1)}-1\right)$ | $\alpha^{\epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon(f-2)}-1\right)$ | $\alpha^{2 \epsilon+i}\left(\alpha^{\epsilon(f-3)}-1\right)$ | $\ldots$ | - |

This framework was developed in my joint paper with S. Huczynska entitled "Disjoint and External Partial Difference Families".

## Constructing DPDFs using finite field cyclotomy

In this way, we can partition a larger cyclotomic class $C_{0}^{\epsilon}$ into a series of smaller $C_{0}^{e}, C_{\epsilon}^{e}, \ldots, C_{e-\epsilon}^{e}$. We can then view "diagonals" running through each of the multisets as copies of some cyclotomic class $C_{i}^{\epsilon}$.

|  | $C_{0}^{e}$ | $C_{1}^{e}$ | $C_{2}^{e}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{0}^{e}$ | $\Delta\left(C_{0}^{e}\right)$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| $C_{1}^{e}$ |  | $\Delta\left(C_{\epsilon}^{e}\right)$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| $C_{2}^{e}$ |  |  | $\Delta\left(C_{2 \epsilon}^{e}\right)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |

If we can identify which cyclotomic class the value of $0 \leq i \leq \epsilon-1$ satisfying $\alpha^{e r}-1 \in C_{i}^{\epsilon}$ for each $0 \leq r \leq f-1$, we can determine whether $C_{0}^{e}, C_{\epsilon}^{e}, \ldots, C_{e-\epsilon}^{e}$ forms a DPDF.

## Relevant cyclotomic DPDF results

The most "useful" PBIBD(2)s are PBIBD(2)s in which the values of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are close. For this reason, in my research into using DPDFs to construct new PBIBD(2)s has focussed on DPDFs that partition the Paley PDS.

## Theorem (Paley (1933))

Let $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ be a finite field of order $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then $C_{0}^{2}$ is a PDS in which $\lambda^{\prime}=\frac{q-5}{4}$ and $\mu^{\prime}=\frac{q-1}{4}$.

## Theorem Summary (Huczynska and J. 2023)

Let $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ be a finite field of order $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $\left(C_{0}^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\left\{C_{0}^{e}, C_{2}^{e}, \ldots, C_{e-2}^{e}\right\}$ be a partition of the squares into smaller cyclotomic classes. Then $\left(C_{0}^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ is a DPDF.

The literature focusses on $\operatorname{PBIBD}(2)$ s focusses on constructions in which $2 \leq k \leq 10$, so I have focussed on constructing DPDFs with set sizes in this range.

## Relevant cyclotomic DPDF results

In the paper "Difference systems of sets and cyclotomy", Mutoh and Tonchev implictly obtain the $\lambda$ and $\mu$ values for all DPDFs which partition the Paley PDSs and in which the component sets have cardinality in the range $[2,6]$.

## Sample Theorem (J. (Upcoming Preprint))

Let $\operatorname{GF}(p)$ be a finite field of order $p=3 e+1 \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, where $p$ is prime and $e=\frac{p-1}{3}$ is even. Let $\left(C_{0}^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\left\{C_{0}^{e}, C_{2}^{e}, \ldots, C_{e-2}^{e}\right\}$, then $\left(C_{0}^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ is;
(i) a DPDF in which $\lambda=2$ and $\mu=0$ when $(-3)^{\frac{e-1}{4}} \equiv 1$ $\bmod p$,
(ii) a DPDF in which $\lambda=0$ and $\mu=2$ when $(-3)^{\frac{e-1}{4}} \equiv-1$ $\bmod p$.

## Intuition behind the proof of this result

This result essentially works by computing the values of $\alpha^{e}-1$ (and therefore $\alpha^{2 e}-1$ ).

## Steps of the proof

(i) By Lagrange's Theorem, $\alpha^{3 e}-1=\left(\alpha^{e}-1\right)\left(\alpha^{2} e+\alpha^{e}+1\right)=0$, this means $\alpha^{2 e}+1=-\alpha^{e}$.
(ii) This means $\left(\alpha^{e}-1\right)^{2}=\alpha^{2 e}-2 \alpha^{e}+1=-3 \alpha^{e}$, so $\alpha^{e}-1$ will be a square if and only if $-3 \alpha^{e}$ is a fourth power.
(iii) Since $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, we can deduce $e \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and so $\alpha^{e}$ is fourth power, so the result is determined by whether -3 is a fourth power or a square.

Using similar finite field properties, I have identify the expand upon these DPDF results to obtain $\lambda$ and $\mu$ values for $7 \leq f \leq 10$.

- Verify new PBIBD(2) parameters that can be obtained using these techniques.
- Can we produce overarching DPDF results for values of $2 \leq f \leq 10$, when the DPDFs in question partition cyclotomic PDSs which are not the squares?
- Can the cyclotomic results that we have produced as part of this project be used to generate any other types of interesting designs?
- Can we use similar properties of finite fields to obtain results in finite fields of order $q$, where $q$ is a prime power?
- Can we improve upon our current cyclotomic results to produce slicker results for $2 \leq f \leq 10$ ?


## Thank you for listening to my talk!

