Signed Groups & their applications to Hadamardish stuff

> HADAMARD 2025 Sevilla Spain

> > R. Craigen

May 29, 2025

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "--" represents "-1")

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "-" represents "-1")

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ



Some objects of interest

(in arrays "-" represents "-1")

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ めへぐ

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "--" represents "-1")

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& -& -\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1} & -& -& -\\ \frac{1}{1} & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "--" represents "-1")

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & - & - \\ 1 & 1 & - & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 1 & - & - & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & - & - \\ \hline 1 & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "--" represents "-1")

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& -& -\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1} & -& -& -\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix};;$$
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& | -& 1\\ \frac{1}{1} & 1 & -& -\\ \frac{1}{1} & -& 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} -& 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "--" represents "-1")

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& -& -\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1} & \frac{1}{1} & -& -\\ \frac{1}{1} & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& | & -& 1\\ \frac{1}{1} & \frac{1}{1} & -& -\\ \frac{1}{1} & -& 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 & | & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} -& 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ \end{pmatrix};$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D\\ B & A & -D & C\\ C & D & A & -B\\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

Some objects of interest

(in arrays "-" represents "-1")

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& -& -\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1} \mid -& -& -\\ 1 \mid 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 \mid 1 & 1 & -\\ 1 \mid -& 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix};;$$
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -& | -& 1\\ \frac{1}{1} \mid 1 & -& -\\ \frac{1}{1} \mid -& 1 & -\\ 1 & 1 \mid 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} -& 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -& 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -& 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\\ \end{pmatrix};;$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D\\ B & A & -D & C\\ C & D & A & -B\\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & -1 & \lambda\\ \lambda & 1 & \lambda & -1\\ -1 & \lambda & 1 & \lambda\\ \lambda & -1 & \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Each in whole or in part arises via a development function.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶ ○ ≧ ○ の Q @

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group C_n .

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group C_n . We also find analogous development over other groups such as

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

EA(4):

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group ${\cal C}_n.$

We also find analogous development over other groups such as

$$EA(4): \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group ${\cal C}_n.$

We also find analogous development over other groups such as

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

$$EA(4): \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
 There is also mysterious "other"

group structure

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group ${\cal C}_n.$

We also find analogous development over other groups such as

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

$$EA(4): \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
 There is also mysterious "other"

group structure like the quaternion

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group ${\cal C}_n.$

We also find analogous development over other groups such as

$$EA(4): \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
 There is also mysterious "other"

group structure like the quaternion (Williamson) array shown, not strictly "group developed", yet there is a group—the group of quaternions Q of order 8—whose structure it reflects.

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group ${\cal C}_n.$

We also find analogous development over other groups such as

$$EA(4): \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
 There is also mysterious "other"

group structure like the quaternion (Williamson) array shown, not strictly "group developed", yet there is a group—the group of quaternions Q of order 8—whose structure it reflects.

In the original Williamson Construction we insert symmetric circulant matrices A, B, C, D, yielding matrices "developed" over groups $C_n \times Q$

Circulant matrices are "developed over" the cyclic group ${\cal C}_n.$

We also find analogous development over other groups such as

$$EA(4): \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
 There is also mysterious "other"

group structure like the quaternion (Williamson) array shown, not strictly "group developed", yet there is a group—the group of quaternions Q of order 8—whose structure it reflects.

In the original Williamson Construction we insert symmetric circulant matrices A, B, C, D, yielding matrices "developed" over groups $C_n \times Q$ —but of half the size of the group (8n).

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4.

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top}=nI$ where $H\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ has constant row/column sum r

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

(日)((1))

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

(日)((1))

So $HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} =$

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So $HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) =$

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So $HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) = rH\mathbf{e} =$

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So
$$HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) = rH\mathbf{e} = r^2\mathbf{e} =$$

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So $HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) = rH\mathbf{e} = r^{2}\mathbf{e} = (nI)\mathbf{e} = n\mathbf{e}.$

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So
$$HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) = rH\mathbf{e} = r^{2}\mathbf{e} = (nI)\mathbf{e} = n\mathbf{e}.$$

Thus, $n = r^2$

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So
$$HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) = rH\mathbf{e} = r^{2}\mathbf{e} = (nI)\mathbf{e} = n\mathbf{e}.$$

Thus, $n = r^2$. Hadamard matrices may be group developed <u>only</u> if the order is a perfect square.

While circulants appear in many constructions for Hadamard matrices the only known examples of circulant H(n) are for n = 1, 4. Ryser (circa 1960) conjectured there are no others.

Circulant and group-developed matrices suffer one fundamental weakness: They have constant row/column sum.

Suppose $HH^{\top} = nI$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has constant row/column sum r. That is, $H\mathbf{e} = H^{\top}\mathbf{e} = r\mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is a column of ones.

So
$$HH^{\top}\mathbf{e} = H(r\mathbf{e}) = rH\mathbf{e} = r^{2}\mathbf{e} = (nI)\mathbf{e} = n\mathbf{e}.$$

Thus, $n = r^2$. Hadamard matrices may be group developed <u>only</u> if the order is a perfect square.

But ... what is this "other way" of developing over groups?

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Warwick de Launey:

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices.



About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00
About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

R. Craigen:

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Both concepts have "mathematical legs"

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Same essential mathematical structure

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

Same essential mathematical structure—though initially developed independently

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

Same essential mathematical structure—though initially developed independently and subsequent work not harmonized

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

Same essential mathematical structure—though initially developed independently and subsequent work not harmonized

Very different results

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

Same essential mathematical structure—though initially developed independently and subsequent work not harmonized

Very different results perhaps because two completely different formulations

About the same time, somewhat prior to 1990

Warwick de Launey: "useful" assumptions about indexing functions for symbolic arrays used for orthogonal matrices. Finds algebraic conditions he later learns [via K. Horadam] correspond to the cocycle equation known in cohomology

R. Craigen: "backwards compiles" existing types of arrays to infer generalizations of group development.

Finds a simple group variant with an additional assumption about "sign" that appears to capture what is needed.

Both concepts have "mathematical legs", not exhausted today.

Same essential mathematical structure—though initially developed independently and subsequent work not harmonized

Very different results perhaps because two completely different formulations lead to different insights.

▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*"

▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^{\top} = nI$).

- Retain historical "H(n)" ↔ "Hadamard matrix of order n" (H ∈ {±1}ⁿ s.t. HH^T = nI).
- ▶ Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^{\top} = nI$).
- ▶ Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^\top = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^{\top} = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^{\top} = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.
- ▶ Personal (minority) preference "UH(n)" ↔ "complex Hadamard matrix of order n"—that is, a member of H(n).

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^\top = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.
- ▶ Personal (minority) preference "UH(n)" ↔ "complex Hadamard matrix of order n"—that is, a member of H(n).
- ▶ Once upon a time CH(n), "complex Hadamard matrix of order n" referred to $H \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}^{n \times n}$ satisfying $HH^* = nI$

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^{\top} = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.
- ▶ Personal (minority) preference "UH(n)" ↔ "complex Hadamard matrix of order n"—that is, a member of H(n).
- ▶ Once upon a time CH(n), "complex Hadamard matrix of order n" referred to $H \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}^{n \times n}$ satisfying $HH^* = nI$ but this is conflicting/anachronistic

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^\top = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.
- ▶ Personal (minority) preference "UH(n)" ↔ "complex Hadamard matrix of order n"—that is, a member of H(n).
- ▶ Once upon a time CH(n), "complex Hadamard matrix of order n" referred to $H \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}^{n \times n}$ satisfying $HH^* = nI$ but this is conflicting/anachronistic and should be consigned to history.
- ► For finite group G, $GH(n, G) \leftrightarrow "H \in G^{n \times n}$ satisfying $HH^* = nI$ with scalar arithmetic over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, reduced (mod ΣG)

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^\top = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.
- ▶ Personal (minority) preference "UH(n)" ↔ "complex Hadamard matrix of order n"—that is, a member of H(n).
- ▶ Once upon a time CH(n), "complex Hadamard matrix of order n" referred to $H \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}^{n \times n}$ satisfying $HH^* = nI$ but this is conflicting/anachronistic and should be consigned to history.
- For finite group G, GH(n, G) ↔ "H ∈ G^{n×n} satisfying HH* = nI with scalar arithmetic over the group ring Z[G], reduced (mod ΣG)(ΣG is the sum of the elements of G)".
- If all entries of a UH(n) are kth roots of unity, we say that it is a Butson Hadamard matrix over the kth roots of unity

- ▶ Retain historical "H(n)" \leftrightarrow "Hadamard matrix of order *n*" ($H \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ s.t. $HH^\top = nI$).
- ► Krakow group is not wrong use "Hadamard matrix" $\leftrightarrow H \in U^{n \times n}$ s.t. $HH^* = nI$, where $U = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$ —exactly Hadamard's subject in 1893—writing $\mathcal{H}(n)$ for the set of these.
- ▶ Personal (minority) preference "UH(n)" ↔ "complex Hadamard matrix of order n"—that is, a member of H(n).
- ▶ Once upon a time CH(n), "complex Hadamard matrix of order n" referred to $H \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}^{n \times n}$ satisfying $HH^* = nI$ but this is conflicting/anachronistic and should be consigned to history.
- For finite group G, GH(n, G) ↔ "H ∈ G^{n×n} satisfying HH* = nI with scalar arithmetic over the group ring Z[G], reduced (mod ΣG)(ΣG is the sum of the elements of G)".
- ► If all entries of a UH(n) are kth roots of unity, we say that it is a Butson Hadamard matrix over the kth roots of unity, denoted BH(n, k) (de Launey argued for GH(n, k)). k is the phase. So retired "CH(n)" is now four-phase UH(n), GH(n, 4) or BH(n, 4).

▶ What is a signed group?

▶ What is a signed group?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

► Signed group rings

- ▶ What is a signed group?
- Signed group rings
- Signed group development of matrices

- ▶ What is a signed group?
- Signed group rings
- ▶ Signed group development of matrices

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

▶ Signed groups and cocyles

- ▶ What is a signed group?
- Signed group rings
- ▶ Signed group development of matrices

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- ▶ Signed groups and cocyles
- Signed group matrices

- ▶ What is a signed group?
- Signed group rings
- ▶ Signed group development of matrices

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- ▶ Signed groups and cocyles
- Signed group matrices
- ▶ How are signed groups used?

- ▶ What is a signed group?
- Signed group rings
- ▶ Signed group development of matrices
- ▶ Signed groups and cocyles
- Signed group matrices
- ▶ How are signed groups used?
- ▶ What have they accomplished?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

(4日) (個) (目) (目) (目) (の)()

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1"

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a



A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab
A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle$$

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

or, more popularly, $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

or, more popularly, $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$

We say that G is the **underlying group** of signed group S.

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

or, more popularly, $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$

We say that G is the **underlying group** of signed group S.

Why it is useful to distinguish between S and G?

A signed group S is a multiplicative group G having a distinguished central involution, denoted "-1" = -1_5 .

For $a \in S$ write -a = (-1)a. Therefore (-a)(-b) = ab (& etc)

EG: The quaternion group Q of order 8 is often written this way

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

or, more popularly, $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$

We say that G is the **underlying group** of signed group S.

Why it is useful to distinguish between S and G?

Consider what it means for two signed groups to be "the same"

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Let S, T be signed groups

- Let $S,\,T$ be signed groups.
- Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

1. φ is a group homomorphism

Let $S,\,T$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

1. φ is a group homomorphism; and

2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$

Let S, T be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

1. φ is a group homomorphism; and

2.
$$\varphi(-1) = -1$$
. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let S, T be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

(日)(日

Let S, T be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

1. φ is a group homomorphism; and

2.
$$\varphi(-1) = -1$$
. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

EG: Let
$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle$$

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

(日)(日

EG: Let
$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$$

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

EG: Let $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ (= $C_2 \times C_4$)

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

EG: Let
$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$$
 (= $C_2 \times C_4$)

Taking a = -1 gives signed group $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle$

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □ - 4

EG: Let
$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$$
 (= $C_2 \times C_4$)

Taking a = -1 gives signed group $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm b, \pm b^2, \pm b^3\}$

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

(日)(日

EG: Let
$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$$
 (= $C_2 \times C_4$)

Taking
$$a = -1$$
 gives signed group
 $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm b, \pm b^2, \pm b^3\}$
Taking $b^2 = -1$ gives
 $S_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = -1, ab = ba \rangle$

Let $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{T}$ be signed groups.

Map $\varphi: S \to T$ is a (signed group) homomorphism if

- 1. φ is a group homomorphism; and
- 2. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. (i.e., $\phi(-1_S) = -1_T$)

Homomorphism φ is an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection, and S, T are **isomorphic** signed groups.

It is possible for two non-isomorphic signed groups to have the same (isomorphic) underlying group(s)!

EG: Let
$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$$
 (= $C_2 \times C_4$)

Taking
$$a = -1$$
 gives signed group
 $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm b, \pm b^2, \pm b^3\}$
Taking $b^2 = -1$ gives
 $S_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = -1, ab = ba \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm a, \pm b, \pm ab\}$

(日)(日

The **projection group** of signed group S with underlying group G is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

The **projection group** of signed group S with underlying group G is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.



The **projection group** of signed group S with underlying group G is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.

The **order** of a signed group S is the order of its projection group P

The **projection group** of signed group S with underlying group G is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.

The **order** of a signed group S is the order of its projection group P (and therefore <u>half</u> its own cardinality)

The **projection group** of signed group S with underlying group G is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.

The **order** of a signed group S is the order of its projection group P (and therefore <u>half</u> its own cardinality)

EG: Underlying group $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = C_2 \times C_4$ of order 8 supports signed groups

The **projection group** of signed group *S* with underlying group *G* is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.

The **order** of a signed group S is the order of its projection group P (and therefore <u>half</u> its own cardinality)

EG: Underlying group $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = C_2 \times C_4$ of order 8 supports signed groups $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle$ and $S_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = -1, ab = ba \rangle$ of order 4

・ロト・日本・モト・モー シック

The **projection group** of signed group *S* with underlying group *G* is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.

The **order** of a signed group S is the order of its projection group P (and therefore <u>half</u> its own cardinality)

EG: Underlying group $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = C_2 \times C_4$ of order 8 supports signed groups $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle$ and $S_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = -1, ab = ba \rangle$ of order 4 with respective projection groups

The **projection group** of signed group *S* with underlying group *G* is $P = G/\langle -1 \rangle$.

If |G| = 2n then |P| = n.

The **order** of a signed group S is the order of its projection group P (and therefore <u>half</u> its own cardinality)

EG: Underlying group $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^4 = 1 \rangle = C_2 \times C_4$ of order 8 supports signed groups $S_1 = \langle b \mid b^4 = 1 \rangle$ and $S_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = -1, ab = ba \rangle$ of order 4 with respective projection groups $P_1 = C_4$ and $P_2 = C_2 \times C_2$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - 釣��

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P



- G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.
- ${\boldsymbol S}$ "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

G is a central extension of $C_2=\langle -1\rangle$ by P.

 ${\boldsymbol S}$ "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} P \rightarrow 1$$

G is a central extension of $C_2=\langle -1\rangle$ by P.

 ${\cal S}$ "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \to C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\to} G \stackrel{\pi}{\to} P \to 1$$

Two apparently different perspectives on the above structure
G is a central extension of $C_2=\langle -1\rangle$ by P.

 ${\cal S}$ "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} P \rightarrow 1$$

Two apparently different perspectives on the above structure:

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place)

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.

S "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} P \rightarrow 1$$

Two apparently different perspectives on the above structure:

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place); G is an artifact of their interaction.

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.

S "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \to C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\to} G \stackrel{\pi}{\to} P \to 1$$

- Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place); G is an artifact of their interaction.
 - SGs The fundemental object of interest is S

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.

S "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} P \rightarrow 1$$

- Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place); G is an artifact of their interaction.
 - SGs The fundemental object of interest is S, which is isomorphic to G as a group

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.

S "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} P \rightarrow 1$$

- Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place); G is an artifact of their interaction.
 - SGs The fundemental object of interest is S, which is isomorphic to G as a group; C_2 isolates -1

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.

S "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \to C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\to} G \stackrel{\pi}{\to} P \to 1$$

- Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place); G is an artifact of their interaction.
 - SGs The fundemental object of interest is S, which is isomorphic to G as a group; C_2 isolates -1, the injection map distinguishes a central involution

G is a central extension of $C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by P.

 ${\cal S}$ "embodies" the (central) short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \stackrel{\imath}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} P \rightarrow 1$$

- Cocycles The object of interest is the projection group P and its relation (via a cocycle) to C_2 (or another group in its place); G is an artifact of their interaction.
 - SGs The fundemental object of interest is S, which is isomorphic to G as a group; C_2 isolates -1, the injection map distinguishes a central involution; P is an artifact.

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$)

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

$$(x,a)(y,b) := (xy, f(x,y)ab)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

$$(x,a)(y,b) := (xy, f(x,y)ab)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

It may be verified that G is indeed a group and $(1_P, -1)$ is a central involution

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

$$(x,a)(y,b) := (xy, f(x,y)ab)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

It may be verified that G is indeed a group and $(1_P, -1)$ is a central involution. Casting G as a signed group S

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

$$(x,a)(y,b) := (xy, f(x,y)ab)$$

It may be verified that G is indeed a group and $(1_P, -1)$ is a central involution. Casting G as a signed group S, P is the projection group

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

$$(x,a)(y,b) := (xy, f(x,y)ab)$$

It may be verified that G is indeed a group and $(1_P, -1)$ is a central involution. Casting G as a signed group S, P is the projection group and the resulting sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow P \rightarrow 1$$

is short, exact and central.

If $f : P \times P \to \langle C \rangle$ is a (normalized) cocycle (where $C = \langle -1 \rangle$) then we can form a group on the set $G = P \times C$ with operation

$$(x,a)(y,b) := (xy, f(x,y)ab)$$

It may be verified that G is indeed a group and $(1_P, -1)$ is a central involution. Casting G as a signed group S, P is the projection group and the resulting sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow P \rightarrow 1$$

is short, exact and central.

Signed group S embodies this machinery in a simple algebraic vehicle which may be driven without "looking under the hood".

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

- ▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.
- ▶ This yields development functions for matrices whose entries are roots of unity, such as BH(n, k)s.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

- ▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.
- ▶ This yields development functions for matrices whose entries are roots of unity, such as BH(n, k)s.
- \blacktriangleright Similarly one may do the same when a central abelian group N takes the place of C

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

- ▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.
- ▶ This yields development functions for matrices whose entries are roots of unity, such as BH(n, k)s.
- ▶ Similarly one may do the same when a central abelian group N takes the place of C. Development functions produce (0, N)-matrices.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

- ▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.
- ▶ This yields development functions for matrices whose entries are roots of unity, such as BH(n, k)s.
- ▶ Similarly one may do the same when a central abelian group N takes the place of C. Development functions produce (0, N)-matrices.
- \blacktriangleright A nonabelian normal subgroup could stand in for C

(日)((1))

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

- ▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.
- ▶ This yields development functions for matrices whose entries are roots of unity, such as BH(n, k)s.
- Similarly one may do the same when a central abelian group N takes the place of C. Development functions produce (0, N)-matrices.
- ▶ A nonabelian normal subgroup could stand in for C but I think at this point the relation to cocycles might need to be abandoned

(How) can signed groups be generalized to take care of distinguished central subgroups bigger than $\langle -1 \rangle$?

- ▶ It is evident from the start that a higher order central element may be used in place of -1, generalizing *C* from $\langle -1 \rangle$ to an arbitrary cyclic group.
- ▶ This yields development functions for matrices whose entries are roots of unity, such as BH(n, k)s.
- Similarly one may do the same when a central abelian group N takes the place of C. Development functions produce (0, N)-matrices.
- A nonabelian normal subgroup could stand in for C but I think at this point the relation to cocycles might need to be abandoned. Perhaps this will be useful for addressing GH matrices over nonabelian groups?

Menagerie of signed groups of order n < 4

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Menagerie of signed groups of order n < 4<u>n = 1</u> unique

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Trivial signed group

$$S_0=\langle -1
angle=\{1,-1\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Underlying and projection groups

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}$$

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Cyclic signed group:

$$\mathit{CS}_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; \mathit{G} \cong \mathit{C}_2 \times \mathit{C}_2$$

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Negacyclic signed group:

$$NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}$$

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Negacyclic signed group:

$$NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_4$$

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

Negacyclic signed group:

$$NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_4; P \cong C_2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

Negacyclic signed group:

$$NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_4; P \cong C_2$$

 $\underline{n = 3}$ unique
Cyclic signed group:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

$$CS_3 = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2\}$$
Menagerie of signed groups of order n < 4 n = 1 unique Trivial signed group

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

Negacyclic signed group:

$$NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_4; P \cong C_2$$

 $\underline{n=3}$ unique
signed group:

Cyclic signed group:

$$\textit{CS}_3 = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2\}; \textit{G} \equiv \textit{C}_2 \times \textit{C}_3$$

Menagerie of signed groups of order n < 4 n = 1 unique Trivial signed group

$$S_0 = \langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}.$$

Underlying and projection groups: $G \cong C_2$; $P \cong \{1\}$

 $\underline{n=2}$ two cases

Cyclic signed group:

$$CS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_2 \times C_2; P \cong C_2$$

Negacyclic signed group:

$$NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x\}; G \cong C_4; P \cong C_2$$

 $\underline{n=3}$ unique

Cyclic signed group:

$$\mathit{CS}_3 = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2\}; \, \mathit{G} \equiv \mathit{C}_2 \times \mathit{C}_3; \mathit{P} \cong \mathit{C}_3$$

 $(\cong \text{negacyclic signed group of order } 3, \text{NC}_3 = \langle -x \rangle) = (-x)$

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$

Elementary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$\textit{EAS}_4 = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{ \pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3 \}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{ \pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3 \}$$

Elementary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$EAS_4 = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

$$G \cong EA_8$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$

Elementary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$EAS_4 = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

$$\langle G \cong EA_8; P \cong EA_4 \rangle$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$

Elementary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$EAS_4 = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

 $G \cong EA_8; P \cong EA_4)$

Secondary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$SAS_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2 = -1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$

Elementary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$EAS_4 = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$
$$G \cong EA_8; P \cong EA_4)$$

Secondary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$SAS_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2 = -1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

Dihedral signed group of order 4:

$$DS_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Cyclic and negacyclic:

$$CS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = 1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$
$$NS_4 = \langle x \mid x^4 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm x^2, \pm x^3\}$$

Elementary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$EAS_4 = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$
$$G \cong EA_8; P \cong EA_4)$$

Secondary abelian signed group of order 4:

$$SAS_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2 = -1, yx = xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

Dihedral signed group of order 4:

$$DS_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2 = 1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

Quaternion signed group of order 4:

$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm x, \pm y, \pm xy\}$$

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

 \blacktriangleright <u>addition</u> formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

<u>addition</u> formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both *R* and *S*

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

<u>addition</u> formally extends addition in R to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both R and S
 -1_R is identified with -1₅

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

<u>addition</u> formally extends addition in R to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both R and S
 -1_R is identified with -1_S (so, also, are 1_R and 1_S)

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

<u>addition</u> formally extends addition in R to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both R and S
 −1_R is identified with −1_S (so, also, are 1_R and 1_S) and written −1 = −1_R = −1_S = (−1_S) · 1_R = 1_S · (−1_R)

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

- <u>addition</u> formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both *R* and *S* −1_R is identified with −1₅ (so, also, are 1_R and 1₅) and written −1 = −1_R = −1₅ = (−1₅) · 1_R = 1₅ · (−1_R)
- ▶ If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P})

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

- <u>addition</u> formally extends addition in R to these expressions
- <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both R and S
- ▶ -1_R is identified with -1_S (so, also, are 1_R and 1_S) and written $-1 = -1_R = -1_S = (-1_S) \cdot 1_R = 1_S \cdot (-1_R)$
- If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P}) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n

basis $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

<u>addition</u> formally extends addition in R to these expressions
<u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both R and S
−1_R is identified with −1_S (so, also, are 1_R and 1_S) and written −1 = −1_R = −1_S = (−1_S) · 1_R = 1_S · (−1_R)
If n is the order of S then R[S] has dimension n (with basis P) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n (and

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2$.

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

<u>addition</u> formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both *R* and *S* -1_R is identified with -1_S (so, also, are 1_R and 1_S) and

written $-1 = -1_R = -1_S = (-1_S) \cdot 1_R = 1_S \cdot (-1_R)$

• If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P}) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n (and basis $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$

EGs: $\mathbb{Z}[NS_2] = \{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; x^2 = -1\}$

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

- <u>addition</u> formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions
 <u>multiplication</u> formally extends products of both *R* and *S* −1_R is identified with −1_S (so, also, are 1_R and 1_S) and written −1 = −1_R = −1_S = (−1_S) · 1_R = 1_S · (−1_R)
- If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P}) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n (and basis $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$

EGs: $\mathbb{Z}[NS_2] = \{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; x^2 = -1\} =$ Gaussian integers

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

 \blacktriangleright addition formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions \blacktriangleright multiplication formally extends products of both R and S \triangleright -1_R is identified with -1_{ς} (so, also, are 1_R and 1_{ς}) and written $-1 = -1_R = -1_S = (-1_S) \cdot 1_R = 1_S \cdot (-1_R)$ If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P}) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n (and basis $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$ **EGs:** $\mathbb{Z}[NS_2] = \{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; x^2 = -1\} = \text{Gaussian integers}$ $\mathbb{R}[S_Q] = \{a + bx + cy + dxy \mid x^2 = y^2 = -1\}$ ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

 \blacktriangleright addition formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions \blacktriangleright multiplication formally extends products of both R and S \triangleright -1_R is identified with -1_{ς} (so, also, are 1_R and 1_{ς}) and written $-1 = -1_R = -1_S = (-1_S) \cdot 1_R = 1_S \cdot (-1_R)$ If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P}) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n (and basis $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$ **EGs:** $\mathbb{Z}[NS_2] = \{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; x^2 = -1\} = \text{Gaussian integers}$ $\mathbb{R}[S_{O}] = \{a + bx + cy + dxy \mid x^{2} = y^{2} = -1\} = \text{Quaternions}$

 $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$: a transversal for $\langle -1 \rangle$ in S.

R: any ring with unity and characteristic $\neq 2.$

The signed group ring of S relative to R:

$$R[S] := \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n : a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R\}$$

 \blacktriangleright addition formally extends addition in *R* to these expressions \blacktriangleright multiplication formally extends products of both R and S \triangleright -1_R is identified with -1_{ς} (so, also, are 1_R and 1_{ς}) and written $-1 = -1_R = -1_S = (-1_S) \cdot 1_R = 1_S \cdot (-1_R)$ If *n* is the order of *S* then R[S] has dimension *n* (with basis \mathcal{P}) whereas the group ring R[G] has dimension 2n (and basis $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$ **EGs:** $\mathbb{Z}[NS_2] = \{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; x^2 = -1\} = \text{Gaussian integers}$ $\mathbb{R}[S_{O}] = \{a + bx + cy + dxy \mid x^{2} = y^{2} = -1\} = \text{Quaternions}$

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \rightarrow$ signed permutation matrices

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \rightarrow$ signed permutation matrices, to generalize (ordinary) group development of matrices.

EGs: For
$$(S_{\mathbb{C}} =)NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle$$

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \to \text{signed permutation matrices,}$ to generalize (ordinary) group development of matrices. **EGs**: For $(S_{\mathbb{C}} =)NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle$, take $\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \rightarrow$ signed permutation matrices, to generalize (ordinary) group development of matrices. **EGs**: For $(S_{\mathbb{C}} =)NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle$, take $\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

For
$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle$$
 take

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \to \text{signed permutation matrices,}$ to generalize (ordinary) group development of matrices. **EGs**: For $(S_{\mathbb{C}} =)NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle$, take $\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

For
$$S_Q = \langle x,y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle$$
 take

$$\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \to \text{signed permutation matrices,}$ to generalize (ordinary) group development of matrices. **EGs**: For $(S_{\mathbb{C}} =)NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle$, take $\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

For
$$S_Q = \langle x,y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle$$
 take

$$\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \pi(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & - & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A degree *m* representation of signed group *S* is a homomorphism $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $\phi(-1_S) = -I_m$.

We especially desire <u>real</u> monomial representations (**remreps**) $\pi: S \to \text{signed permutation matrices,}$ to generalize (ordinary) group development of matrices. **EGs**: For $(S_{\mathbb{C}} =)NS_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = -1 \rangle$, take $\pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

For
$$S_Q = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = -1, y^2 = -1, yx = -xy \rangle$$
 take

$\pi(x) =$	/0	—	0	0/	$, \pi(y) =$	/0	0	_	0/
	1	0	0	0		0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	_		1	0	0	0
	0/	0	1	0/		0)	—	0	0/

(Both representations should be familiar!)

The (right-)regular representation

Analogous to the regular representation of groups
Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows:

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

.

(÷	<i>x</i> ₁	•••	x _n	Ϊ
	x_1	$x_1 x_1^{-1}$	• • •	$x_1 x_n^{-1}$	
	÷	÷	۰.	÷	
ĺ	x _n	$x_n x_1^{-1}$		$x_n x_n^{-1}$	J

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \hline x_1 & x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
. i.e., $D = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \hline x_1 & x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ i.e., } D = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

In each row/column $\pm x_i$ appears (once!) for each i

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \hline x_1 & x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ i.e., } D = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

In each row/column $\pm x_i$ appears (once!) for each *i*. So there exist permutation matrices P_1, \ldots, P_n such that

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \hline x_1 & x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ i.e., } D = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

In each row/column $\pm x_i$ appears (once!) for each *i*. So there exist permutation matrices P_1, \ldots, P_n such that

$$D = x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \hline x_1 & x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ i.e., } D = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

In each row/column $\pm x_i$ appears (once!) for each *i*. So there exist permutation matrices P_1, \ldots, P_n such that

$$D = x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

(With matrix arithmetic over the ring of scalars $\mathbb{Z}[S]$).

Analogous to the regular representation of groups. For signed group S with $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (with $x_1 = 1$) proceed as follows: Let D be the $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ division table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \hline x_1 & x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ i.e., } D = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_1 x_n^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n x_1^{-1} & \cdots & x_n x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

In each row/column $\pm x_i$ appears (once!) for each *i*. So there exist permutation matrices P_1, \ldots, P_n such that

$$D = x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n$$

(With matrix arithmetic over the ring of scalars $\mathbb{Z}[S]$).

Claim: $\pi: S \to \{0, \pm 1\}^{n \times n}$ where $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ is a (signed group) homomorphism (injection).

We have $D = x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = のへで

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

 $D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \cdots + x_nP_n)^2$



We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \cdots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j$$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k \sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^{2} = (x_{1}P_{1} + \dots + x_{n}P_{n})^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} x_{i}x_{j}P_{i}P_{j} = \sum_{k} x_{k}\sum_{x_{i}x_{j}=e_{ij}x_{k}} e_{ij}P_{i}P_{j}$$

 $= (VV^{*})^{2}$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k \sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

 $= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^*$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k \sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^*$$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k \sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^* = nVV^*$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k \sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^* = nVV^*$$

$$=n\sum_{k}x_{k}P_{k}$$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k \sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^* = nVV^*$$

$$=n\sum_{k}x_{k}P_{k}=\sum_{k}(nx_{k})P_{k}$$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k\sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^* = nVV^*$$

$$= n \sum_{k} x_k P_k = \sum_{k} (n x_k) P_k$$

By direct counting, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k \Rightarrow P_i P_j = e_{ij} P_k$.

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k\sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^* = nVV^*$$

$$= n \sum_{k} x_k P_k = \sum_{k} (n x_k) P_k$$

By direct counting, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k \Rightarrow P_i P_j = e_{ij} P_k$. That is, $\pi(x_i)\pi(x_j) = \pi(x_i x_j)$

We have
$$D = x_1 P_1 + \dots + x_n P_n$$
, $\pi(x_i) = P_i$ and $D = VV^*$
where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1} & x_2^{-1} & \cdots & x_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now,

$$D^2 = (x_1P_1 + \dots + x_nP_n)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_ix_jP_iP_j = \sum_k x_k\sum_{x_ix_j=e_{ij}x_k} e_{ij}P_iP_j$$

$$= (VV^*)^2 = V(V^*V)V^* = V(x_1^{-1}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{-1}x_n)V^* = nVV^*$$

$$= n \sum_{k} x_k P_k = \sum_{k} (n x_k) P_k$$

By direct counting, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k \Rightarrow P_i P_j = e_{ij} P_k$. That is, $\pi(x_i)\pi(x_j) = \pi(x_i x_j)$, and the result follows

and signed group matrix conjugates

On $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ define the (standard) involution $(\sum a_i x_i)^* := \sum a_i x_i^{-1}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

and signed group matrix conjugates

On $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ define the (standard) involution $(\sum a_i x_i)^* := \sum a_i x_i^{-1}$

EG: the involution on $\mathbb{Z}[S_{\mathbb{C}}]$ corresponds to complex conjugation of Gaussian integers.

and signed group matrix conjugates

On $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ define the (standard) involution $(\sum a_i x_i)^* := \sum a_i x_i^{-1}$

EG: the involution on $\mathbb{Z}[S_{\mathbb{C}}]$ corresponds to complex conjugation of Gaussian integers.

 $\mathsf{EG}:$ the involution on $\mathbb{R}[S_Q]$ corresponds to the usual conjugation of quaternions

and signed group matrix conjugates

On $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ define the **(standard) involution** $(\sum a_i x_i)^* := \sum a_i x_i^{-1}$

EG: the involution on $\mathbb{Z}[S_{\mathbb{C}}]$ corresponds to complex conjugation of Gaussian integers.

 $\mathsf{EG}:$ the involution on $\mathbb{R}[S_Q]$ corresponds to the usual conjugation of quaternions

For matrices $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{Z}[S]^{h \times k}$ define the **conjugate**

$$M^* := [m_{jj}^*] \in \mathbb{Z}[S]^{k \times h}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

and signed group matrix conjugates

On $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ define the (standard) involution $(\sum a_i x_i)^* := \sum a_i x_i^{-1}$

EG: the involution on $\mathbb{Z}[S_{\mathbb{C}}]$ corresponds to complex conjugation of Gaussian integers.

 $\mathsf{EG}:$ the involution on $\mathbb{R}[S_Q]$ corresponds to the usual conjugation of quaternions

For matrices $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{Z}[S]^{h \times k}$ define the **conjugate**

$$M^* := [m_{ji}^*] \in \mathbb{Z}[S]^{k \times h}$$

EG: For $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ -or- S_Q -matrices conjugation corresponds to, respectively, the Hermitian adjoint and the corresponding matrix operation for quaternion matrices.

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group S.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

For real monomial matrix P, $P^{\top} = P^{-1}$ (easy).

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group *S*.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

For real monomial matrix $P, P^{\top} = P^{-1}$ (easy).

It follows that, for $\alpha \in R[S]$, $\pi(\alpha^*) = \pi(\alpha)^{\top}$

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group S.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

For real monomial matrix $P, P^{\top} = P^{-1}$ (easy).

It follows that, for $\alpha \in R[S]$, $\pi(\alpha^*) = \pi(\alpha)^{\top}$.

If $A = \pi(\alpha)$ then
Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group *S*.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

For real monomial matrix $P, P^{\top} = P^{-1}$ (easy).

It follows that, for $\alpha \in R[S]$, $\pi(\alpha^*) = \pi(\alpha)^{\top}$.

If
$$A = \pi(\alpha)$$
 then $AA^{\top} = nI \Leftrightarrow \alpha \alpha^* = n$.

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group *S*.

For real monomial matrix P, $P^{\top} = P^{-1}$ (easy).

It follows that, for $\alpha \in R[S]$, $\pi(\alpha^*) = \pi(\alpha)^{\top}$.

If $A = \pi(\alpha)$ then $AA^{\top} = nI \Leftrightarrow \alpha \alpha^* = n$. (Gram products)

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group S.

For real monomial matrix $P, P^{\top} = P^{-1}$ (easy).

It follows that, for $\alpha \in R[S]$, $\pi(\alpha^*) = \pi(\alpha)^{\top}$.

If $A = \pi(\alpha)$ then $AA^{\top} = nI \Leftrightarrow \alpha \alpha^* = n$. (Gram products)

 (± 1) -matrices developed over a signed group are <u>not</u> subject to the order constraints of the group-developed case.

Linearly extending the regular representation $\pi(R[S]) \subset R^{n \times n}$ —a matrix ring isomorphic to $\pi(R[S])$.

Matrices in $\pi(R[S])$ are **developed over** signed group S.

For real monomial matrix $P,\,P^{\top}=P^{-1}$ (easy).

It follows that, for $\alpha \in R[S]$, $\pi(\alpha^*) = \pi(\alpha)^{\top}$.

If $A = \pi(\alpha)$ then $AA^{\top} = nI \Leftrightarrow \alpha \alpha^* = n$. (Gram products)

 (± 1) -matrices developed over a signed group are <u>not</u> subject to the order constraints of the group-developed case.

EG: Williamson's 50-year old construction gives Hadamard matrices of all orders 4q, $q \leq 33$, which are seen to be developed over $S_Q \times C_q$.

In general, the set of matrices $M \in R^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

In general, the set of matrices $M \in R^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace.

In general, the set of matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace. Using A, B, C, D for the coefficients of x_1, \ldots, x_4 in our construction:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

$$\begin{pmatrix} S = CS_4: \\ A & B & C & D \\ D & A & B & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix}$$

In general, the set of matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace. Using A, B, C, D for the coefficients of x_1, \ldots, x_4 in our construction:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

$$\begin{pmatrix} S = CS_4: & S = NS_4: \\ A & B & C & D \\ D & A & B & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D \\ D & A & -B & -C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix}$$

In general, the set of matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace. Using A, B, C, D for the coefficients of x_1, \ldots, x_4 in our construction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ D & A & B & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D \\ D & A & -B & -C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

In general, the set of matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace. Using A, B, C, D for the coefficients of x_1, \ldots, x_4 in our construction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ D & A & B & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D \\ D & A & -B & -C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

$$\begin{pmatrix} S=SAS_4:\\ A & -B & -C & D\\ B & A & -D & C\\ C & D & A & -B\\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

In general, the set of matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace. Using A, B, C, D for the coefficients of x_1, \ldots, x_4 in our construction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} S = CS_4: & S = NS_4: & S = EAS_4: \\ A & B & C & D \\ D & A & B & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D \\ D & A & -B & -C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & D & C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ B & C & D & A \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S = SAS_4: & S = SD_4 \\ A & -B & -C & D \\ B & A & -D & C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & -D \\ B & A & D & -C \\ C & -D & A & B \\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

In general, the set of matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ developed over a signed group of order n is an n-dimensional subspace. Using A, B, C, D for the coefficients of x_1, \ldots, x_4 in our construction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} S = CS_4: & S = NS_4: & S = EAS_4: \\ (A & B & C & D) \\ (D & A & B & C) \\ (C & D & A & B) \\ (B & C & D & A) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D \\ (D & A & -B & -C) \\ (C & D & A & -B) \\ (B & C & D & A) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ (B & A & D & C) \\ (C & D & A & B) \\ (D & C & B & A) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S = SAS_4: & S = SD_4 & S = S_Q \\ A & -B & -C & D \\ B & A & -D & C \\ C & D & A & -B \\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & -D \\ B & A & D & -C \\ C & -D & A & B \\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & -B & -C & -D \\ B & A & -D & C \\ C & D & A & B \\ D & -C & B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

A map $f : G \times G \to C$ is a **cocycle**

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

A map $f : G \times G \to C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if



A map $f : G \times G \to C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

<□ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ < つ < ○</p>

A map $f : G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$f(x,y)f(xy,z) = f(y,z)f(x,yz)$$

 $(The \ \textbf{cocycle equation})$



A map $f : G \times G \to C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$, f(x, y)f(xy, z) = f(y, z)f(x, yz)

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

 $(The \ \textbf{cocycle equation})$

de Launey/Flannery/Horadam (etc):

A map $f : G \times G \to C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$, f(x, y)f(xy, z) = f(y, z)f(x, yz)

$(The \ \textbf{cocycle equation})$

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathrm{de \ Launey}/\mathrm{Flannery}/\mathrm{Horadam}\ (\mathrm{etc})};\\ \overline{\mathrm{Index\ the\ rows\ of}\ } M = [m_{x,y}]_{x,y\in G} \in R^{|G|\times|G|} \ \mathrm{by\ the\ elements\ of} \\ G. \end{array}$

A map $f: G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$f(x,y)f(xy,z) = f(y,z)f(x,yz)$$

$(The \ \textbf{cocycle equation})$

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \frac{\mathrm{de\ Launey/Flannery/Horadam\ (etc)}:}{\mathrm{Index\ the\ rows\ of\ } M=[m_{x,y}]_{x,y\in G}\in R^{|G|\times|G|}} \ \mathrm{by\ the\ elements\ of} \\ G. \ \mathrm{We\ say\ that\ } M \ \mathrm{is\ } \mathbf{cocyclic\ if} \end{array}$

A map $f : G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$f(x,y)f(xy,z) = f(y,z)f(x,yz)$$

(The cocycle equation)

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\mathrm{de\ Launey/Flannery/Horadam\ (etc):}}{\mathrm{Index\ the\ rows\ of\ } M=[m_{x,y}]_{x,y\in G}\in R^{|G|\times |G|} \ \mathrm{by\ the\ elements\ of}} \\ G. \ \mathrm{We\ say\ that\ } M \ \mathrm{is\ } \mathbf{cocyclic\ if\ there\ exists\ a\ function} \\ g: \ G \rightarrow R \ \mathrm{and\ a\ cocycle\ } f \ \mathrm{such\ that}, \ \mathrm{for\ all\ } x,y\in G, \end{array}$

A map $f : G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$f(x,y)f(xy,z) = f(y,z)f(x,yz)$$

(The cocycle equation)

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\mathrm{de\ Launey/Flannery/Horadam\ (etc):}}{\mathrm{Index\ the\ rows\ of\ } M=[m_{x,y}]_{x,y\in G}\in R^{|G|\times|G|}} \ \mathrm{by\ the\ elements\ of} \\ G. \ \mathrm{We\ say\ that\ } M \ \mathrm{is\ } \mathbf{cocyclic\ if\ there\ exists\ a\ function} \\ g:G\to R \ \mathrm{and\ a\ cocycle\ } f \ \mathrm{such\ that}, \ \mathrm{for\ all\ } x,y\in G, \end{array}$

$$m_{x,y} = f(x,y)g(xy)$$

A map $f : G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$f(x,y)f(xy,z) = f(y,z)f(x,yz)$$

(The cocycle equation)

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \underline{\mathrm{de \ Launey}/\mathrm{Flannery}/\mathrm{Horadam \ (etc)}};\\ \displaystyle \overline{\mathrm{Index \ the \ rows \ of \ } M=[m_{x,y}]_{x,y\in G}\in R^{|G|\times|G|}} \text{ by the elements of }\\ G. \ \mathrm{We \ say \ that \ } M \ \mathrm{is \ } \mathbf{cocyclic \ if \ there \ exists \ a \ function }\\ g: \ G \to R \ \mathrm{and \ a \ cocycle \ } f \ \mathrm{such \ that, \ for \ all \ } x,y\in G, \end{array}$

$$m_{x,y} = f(x,y)g(xy)$$

(The value of $m_{x,y}$ is g(xy) (depends only on xy) times the value of a cocycle.) For the trivial cocycle $f : G \times G \to 1$

◆□▶◆□▶◆≧▶◆≧▶ ≧ のへぐ

A map $f : G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a **cocycle** (to C) if for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$f(x,y)f(xy,z) = f(y,z)f(x,yz)$$

(The cocycle equation)

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathrm{de \ Launey/Flannery/Horadam\ (etc)}:} \\ \overline{\mathrm{Index\ the\ rows\ of\ } M = [m_{x,y}]_{x,y \in G} \in R^{|G| \times |G|} \ \mathrm{by\ the\ elements\ of}} \\ G. \ \mathrm{We\ say\ that\ } M \ \mathrm{is\ } \mathbf{cocyclic\ if\ there\ exists\ a\ function} \\ g: \ G \to R \ \mathrm{and\ a\ cocycle\ } f \ \mathrm{such\ that}, \ \mathrm{for\ all\ } x, y \in G, \end{array}$

$$m_{x,y} = f(x,y)g(xy)$$

(The value of $m_{x,y}$ is g(xy) (depends only on xy) times the value of a cocycle.) For the trivial cocycle $f : G \times G \to 1$ this reduces to **group development** over group G.

Suppose S is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}.$



Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$



Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on ${\cal S}$

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle.

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle. Conversely, any cocycle in the above construction makes S a signed group!

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle. Conversely, any cocycle in the above construction makes S a signed group!

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is developed over S,

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle. Conversely, any cocycle in the above construction makes S a signed group!

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is developed over S, $M = [m_{x_i, x_i}] = \sum a_k P_k$.

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle. Conversely, any cocycle in the above construction makes S a signed group!

If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is developed over S, $M = [m_{x_i, x_j}] = \sum a_k P_k$. Thus, $m_{x_i, x_j} = f(x_i, x_j^{-1})g(x_i x_j^{-1})$
Cocycles and signed group development

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle. Conversely, any cocycle in the above construction makes S a signed group!

If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is developed over S, $M = [m_{x_i, x_j}] = \sum a_k P_k$. Thus, $m_{x_i, x_j} = f(x_i, x_j^{-1})g(x_i x_j^{-1})$ where $g(x_i x_j^{-1}) = g(x_k) = a_k$.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ めへぐ

Cocycles and signed group development

Suppose *S* is a signed group with projection group $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Write the elements of *S* as $\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n$ in the obvious way.

If, in P, $x_i x_j = x_k$ then, in S, $x_i x_j = e_{ij} x_k$ where $e_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $f : P \times P \to C_2 = \langle -1 \rangle$ by $f(x_i, x_j) = e_{ij}$.

The cocycle equation gives the condition necessary for the above construction to give associative operation on S. Therefore, f is a cocycle. Conversely, any cocycle in the above construction makes S a signed group!

If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is developed over S, $M = [m_{x_i, x_j}] = \sum a_k P_k$. Thus, $m_{x_i, x_j} = f(x_i, x_j^{-1})g(x_i x_j^{-1})$ where $g(x_i x_j^{-1}) = g(x_k) = a_k$.

So signed group = cocyclic development (+ permuting columns)

Besides developing matrices over signed groups

Besides developing matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose entries come from a signed group S

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Besides developing matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose entries come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S).

EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S). EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$. Take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S). EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$. Take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

$$HH^* =$$

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S). EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$. Take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$HH^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S). EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$. Take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$HH^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 2I$$

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S). EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$. Take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$HH^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 2I$$

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

So $H = SH(2, NS_2)$.

Besides <u>developing</u> matrices over signed groups, we consider matrices whose <u>entries</u> come from a signed group S—and perform algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Suppose $H \in S^{n \times n}$ and that $HH^* = nI$. We say that H is a signed group Hadamard matrix over S, and write SH(n, S). EG: Let $S = NS_2 = \langle x | x^2 = -1 \rangle$. Take $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$HH^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 2I$$

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

So $H = SH(2, NS_2)$.

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H:

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from $H\!\!:$ (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H: (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry; (b) multiply every resulting block by a Hadamard matrix of order m

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H: (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry; (b) multiply every resulting block by a Hadamard matrix of order m. Continuing the previous example:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow$$

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H: (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry; (b) multiply every resulting block by a Hadamard matrix of order m. Continuing the previous example:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 & - \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & - & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & | & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H: (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry; (b) multiply every resulting block by a Hadamard matrix of order m. Continuing the previous example:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & - & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H: (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry; (b) multiply every resulting block by a Hadamard matrix of order m. Continuing the previous example:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & - & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

	1	1	_	—	—)
=		1	1	1	_	
		_	_	1	_	
	l	1	_	1	1	,

_

To obtain a Hadamard matrix from H: (a) Apply a remrep of some order m to every entry; (b) multiply every resulting block by a Hadamard matrix of order m. Continuing the previous example:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & - & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & - & - \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ \hline - & - & 1 & - \\ 1 & - & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow H(4).$$

▲ロト ▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a circulant SH(2q, S)

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)).

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order??

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)).

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)).

In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In EVERY even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ...??

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Devil in details: S depends on q

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Devil in details: S depends on q; so, then, does the order of a resulting Hadamard matrix

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Devil in details: S depends on q; so, then, does the order of a resulting Hadamard matrix. And the final structure will definitely <u>not</u> be circulant.

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Devil in details: S depends on q; so, then, does the order of a resulting Hadamard matrix. And the final structure will definitely <u>not</u> be circulant.

 ${\cal S}$ arises from a witch's brew of ingredients needed to form the matrix

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Devil in details: S depends on q; so, then, does the order of a resulting Hadamard matrix. And the final structure will definitely <u>not</u> be circulant.

S arises from a witch's brew of ingredients needed to form the matrix, "assembled" by picking a signed group to multiply the parts by so they "behave right".

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Devil in details: S depends on q; so, then, does the order of a resulting Hadamard matrix. And the final structure will definitely <u>not</u> be circulant.

 ${\cal S}$ arises from a witch's brew of ingredients needed to form the matrix, "assembled" by picking a signed group to multiply the parts by so they "behave right".

S can be chose to have a remrep of degree a power of 2. Consequently we obtain an asymptotic result...
Circulant signed group Hadamard matrices always exist!

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd positive integer q, there exists a <u>circulant</u> SH(2q, S) (for some signed group S = S(q)). In <u>EVERY</u> even order?? CIRCULANT? But ...Ryser ... ??

Devil in details: S depends on q; so, then, does the order of a resulting Hadamard matrix. And the final structure will definitely <u>not</u> be circulant.

 ${\cal S}$ arises from a witch's brew of ingredients needed to form the matrix, "assembled" by picking a signed group to multiply the parts by so they "behave right".

S can be chose to have a remrep of degree a power of 2. Consequently we obtain an asymptotic result...

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $2^t q$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $2^t q$, where $t \leq \frac{2}{5} \log_2 q$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $2^t q$, where $t \leq \frac{2}{5} \log_2 q$.

... improving the first result of this type by Jennie Seberry, ≈ 1995

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $2^t q$, where $t \leq \frac{2}{5} \log_2 q$.

... improving the first result of this type by Jennie Seberry, \approx 1995 where $t\approx 2\log_2 q.$

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $2^t q$, where $t \leq \frac{2}{5} \log_2 q$.

... improving the first result of this type by Jennie Seberry, \approx 1995 where $t\approx 2\log_2 q.$

The bound has been further improved (Livinskyi, 2011) using the signed group approach.

Theorem (Craigen, 1994): For any odd $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $2^t q$, where $t \leq \frac{2}{5} \log_2 q$.

... improving the first result of this type by Jennie Seberry, \approx 1995 where $t\approx 2\log_2 q.$

The bound has been further improved (Livinskyi, 2011) using the signed group approach.

At least 3 subsequent authors have produced extensions of the method giving even better asymptotics or other asymptotic results (EG for orthogonal designs).

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

 Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.
- Expanding the possibilities for various tensor constructions for orthogonal matrices.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.
- Expanding the possibilities for various tensor constructions for orthogonal matrices.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

 \blacktriangleright 2 × 2 block conjecture for Hadamard matrices:

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.
- Expanding the possibilities for various tensor constructions for orthogonal matrices.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

▶ 2 × 2 block conjecture for Hadamard matrices: Every H(2n) can be partitioned into rank two 2 × 2 submatrices.

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.
- Expanding the possibilities for various tensor constructions for orthogonal matrices.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

▶ 2 × 2 block conjecture for Hadamard matrices: Every H(2n) can be partitioned into rank two 2 × 2 submatrices. Such a partition produces a $SH(n, DS_4)$ (Dihedral Hadamard matrix).

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.
- Expanding the possibilities for various tensor constructions for orthogonal matrices.

▶ 2 × 2 block conjecture for Hadamard matrices: Every H(2n) can be partitioned into rank two 2 × 2 submatrices. Such a partition produces a $SH(n, DS_4)$ (Dihedral Hadamard matrix). It's not true that every H(2n) can be obtained by "inflating" a BH(n, 4).

(日)((1))

- Making Hadamard matrices from large weight Weighing matrices
- ▶ Maps between classes of generalized Hadamard matrices
- Making possible sequence constructions for Hadamard matrices that involve complex sequences.
- Expanding the possibilities for various tensor constructions for orthogonal matrices.

 2 × 2 block conjecture for Hadamard matrices: Every H(2n) can be partitioned into rank two 2 × 2 submatrices.
Such a partition produces a SH(n, DS₄) (Dihedral Hadamard matrix). It's not true that every H(2n) can be obtained by "inflating" a BH(n, 4). But it may be true that every H(2n) can be obtained by "inflating" a SH(n, DS₄).

► Etc.

Some references

R. CRAIGEN, Constructions for Orthogonal Matrices, PhD Thesis, University of Waterloo (1991) pp. 167–173.

R. CRAIGEN, Signed Groups, Sequences and the Asymptotic Existence of Hadamard Matrices, Journal of Combinatorial Theory **71** (1995) 241–254.

K. HORADAM, Hadamard Matrices and Their Applications, Princeton U. Press, 2007

W. DE LAUNEY AND D. FLANNERY, Algebraic Design Theory, 2011.